

Remarks

In the Office Action dated January 24, 2007, the Examiner indicated that claim 22 is generic to the species of Group I, and that claim 25 is generic to the species of Group "I" and the species of Group II. Applicants believe that there is an error on the second page, second paragraph of the Office Action. Applicants believe that the Examiner intended to state that claim 22 is generic to the species of Group "II", not Group "I". If the Examiner intended to state that claim 22 is generic to Group I, it should be examined with the elected group. For purposes of this response, Applicants will assume that the Examiner intended to refer to Group "II" in the fourth line of the second paragraph on page 2 of the Office Action.


Claims 1-9 and 23 are allowed. Claim 25 now is being cancelled. Claim 22 has been withdrawn by the Examiner. Claim 22 now is grouped with withdrawn claims 10-21 and 24.

It is believed that the application now is in condition for allowance with claims 1-9 and 23. Issuance of a Notice of Allowance is solicited respectfully.

Please charge any fees or credit any overpayments as a result of the filing of this paper to Deposit Account No. 06-1510.

Respectfully submitted,

WALT ORTMANN et al.

By 
Donald J. Harrington
Reg. No. 17,427
Attorney/Agent for Applicant

Date: April 5, 2007

BROOKS KUSHMAN P.C.

1000 Town Center, 22nd Floor

Southfield, MI 48075-1238

Phone: 248-358-4400

Fax: 248-358-3351